

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/613,843	07/02/2003	Gary Q. Teague	01-4876/DIV	01-4876/DIV 7247	
39820	7590 04/06/2004		EXAMINER		
	И. LIVINGSTON, PA		HURLEY, KEVIN		
963 TRAIL TERRACE DRIVE NAPLES, FL 34103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NAPLES, FL	, 34103		3611		

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	10/613,843 TEAGUE, GARY Q.		Q.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kevin Hurley	3611					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	Idress				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the	e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-15</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-15</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	6) Claim(s) 3 is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement						
o) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 July 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D		ГО-152)				

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Application/Control Number: 10/613,843

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, as recited in claim 3, the "tailgate bracket" (line 26), "tailgate-bracket member" (lines 31 & 38), "tailgate-bracket appendages" (lines 27, 30, & 36), "bottom extensions" (line 27), and "mirror bracket (line 35) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3611

Election/Restrictions

3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the species shown in Figs. 1, 6, 8-9 the species shown in Fig. 2, the species shown in Fig. 3, 7, 10, the species shown in Figs 4-5, 11-14, and the species shown in Figs. 15-16..

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3611

4. During a telephone conversation with Edward Livingston on 26 March 2004 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the species shown in Figs 3, 7, & 10 claim 3. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 4-15 withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Note that claim 15 recites the attachment rod (20) and holder rod (21) which are not part of the elected species.

Specification

5. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required:

In claim 3, the terms "tailgate bracket" (line 26), "tailgate-bracket member" (lines 31 & 38), "tailgate-bracket appendages" (lines 27, 30, & 36), "bottom extensions" (line 27), and "mirror bracket (line 35) do not appear in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,843

Art Unit: 3611

In claim 3, it is unclear what elements the "tailgate bracket" (line 26), "tailgate-bracket member" (lines 31 & 38), "tailgate-bracket appendages" (lines 27, 30, & 36), "bottom extensions" (line 27), and "mirror bracket" (line 35) are referring to.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 3 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Beck et al., Wilcox et al, Mazarac, Howard, Brewington, Staggs, Roberson, Haworth, Quesada, and Neeley disclose mirrors attachable to a vehicle tailgate.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Hurley whose telephone number is 703-308-0233. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 703-308-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin Hurley

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3611

March 29, 2004